

# Integer and Combinatorial Optimization: Gomory's Cutting Plane Algorithm

John E. Mitchell

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
RPI, Troy, NY 12180 USA

February 2019

# Our integer program

We take our standard problem to be the integer program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \text{ integer} \end{array} \quad (IP)$$

We propose to solve this problem by repeating the following loop:

- Solve the LP relaxation.
- If integral, STOP.
- Otherwise, find a violated Gomory cutting plane, add it to the LP relaxation, and loop.

# Our integer program

We take our standard problem to be the integer program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \text{ integer} \end{array} \quad (IP)$$

We propose to solve this problem by repeating the following loop:

- Solve the LP relaxation.
- If integral, STOP.
- Otherwise, find a violated Gomory cutting plane, add it to the LP relaxation, and loop.

# Our integer program

We take our standard problem to be the integer program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \text{ integer} \end{array} \quad (IP)$$

We propose to solve this problem by repeating the following loop:

- Solve the LP relaxation.
- If integral, STOP.
- Otherwise, find a violated Gomory cutting plane, add it to the LP relaxation, and loop.

## A variable for the objective function value

Gomory cutting planes can be generated from any basic variable that takes a non-integral value in the solution to the current LP relaxation.

They can also be **generated from the objective function**, assuming all entries in  $c$  are integral so the objective function value is integral.

To make it easier to talk about the objective function, we introduce a new integral variable  $x_0$  equal to the objective function value, and solve the problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{(x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} & x_0 \\ \text{subject to} & x_0 - c^T x = 0 \\ & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \text{ integer} \\ & x_0 \text{ free} \end{array}$$

# The LP relaxation

The LP relaxation is

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{(x_0, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}} & x_0 \\ \text{subject to} & x_0 - c^T x = 0 \\ & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x_0 \text{ free} \end{array} \quad (LP)$$

Since  $x_0$  is a free variable, it is **always basic**.

## Gomory cutting plane

We let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of basic variables, so  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \setminus \mathcal{B}$  denote the set of nonbasic variables.

If the optimal solution to  $(LP)$  is non-integral then there is a basic variable  $x_i$  that takes a fractional value.

We can write the row of the tableau corresponding to  $x_i$  as

$$x_i + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{R}} \bar{a}_{ij} = \bar{b}_i$$

We define

$$f_0 := \bar{b}_i - \lfloor \bar{b}_i \rfloor > 0, \quad f_j := \bar{a}_{ij} - \lfloor \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor \geq 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{R}$$

The Gomory cutting plane is then

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{R}} f_j x_j \geq f_0.$$

# Reoptimize

The Gomory cutting plane is then

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{R}} f_j x_j \geq f_0.$$

For example, if  $x_2$  is the basic variable in the following constraint

$$x_2 + 1.2x_4 - 0.7x_7 = 2.5$$

then we get the Gomory cut

$$0.2x_4 + 0.3x_7 \geq 0.5.$$

We introduce a (nonnegative integral) slack variable into the Gomory cut, add it to the LP relaxation, and reoptimize.



# Convergence

Gomory proved that this algorithm converges to the optimal solution in a finite number of iterations, provided we obey some rules when breaking ties.

The most important rule is:

*always add a cutting plane from the **least index fractional basic variable**.*

The pivot rules are called lexicographic rules.

## Theorem (Gomory [2])

*Using lexicographic pivot rules and always deriving the cut from the least index non-integral basic variable, Gomory's cutting algorithm converges to an optimal solution to (IP) in a finite number of iterations.*

# Convergence

Gomory proved that this algorithm converges to the optimal solution in a finite number of iterations, provided we obey some rules when breaking ties.

The most important rule is:

*always add a cutting plane from the **least index** fractional basic variable.*

The pivot rules are called lexicographic rules.

## Theorem (Gomory [2])

*Using lexicographic pivot rules and always deriving the cut from the least index non-integral basic variable, Gomory's cutting algorithm converges to an optimal solution to (IP) in a finite number of iterations.*

## Practical performance

For many years, Gomory cutting planes were regarded as inefficient. That changed in the 1990's, starting with the work of Balas et al. [1].

One simple refinement was that they **added multiple cuts at once**, corresponding to many of the integer variables taking non-integral values in the optimal solution to the current relaxation.

Gomory cuts are now one of the principal cuts included in CPLEX and other commercial packages.

Zanette et al. [3] revisited Gomory's lexicographic rules and showed that they are useful in practice: without the rules, the **generated cuts are often almost parallel**, which results in slow progress.

# References



E. Balas, S. Ceria, G. Cornuéjols, and N. Natraj.  
Gomory cuts revisited.  
*Operations Research Letters*, 19:1–9, 1996.






R. E. Gomory.  
An algorithm for integer solutions to linear programs.  
In R. L. Graves and P. Wolfe, editors, *Recent Advances in Mathematical Programming*, pages 269–302. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.






A. Zanette, M. Fischetti, and E. Balas.  
Lexicography and degeneracy: can a pure cutting plane algorithm work?  
*Mathematical Programming*, 130(1):153–176, 2011.

# References

-  E. Balas, S. Ceria, G. Cornuéjols, and N. Natraj.  
Gomory cuts revisited.  
*Operations Research Letters*, 19:1–9, 1996.
-  R. E. Gomory.  
An algorithm for integer solutions to linear programs.  
In R. L. Graves and P. Wolfe, editors, *Recent Advances in Mathematical Programming*, pages 269–302. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
-  A. Zanette, M. Fischetti, and E. Balas.  
Lexicography and degeneracy: can a pure cutting plane algorithm work?  
*Mathematical Programming*, 130(1):153–176, 2011.

# References

-  E. Balas, S. Ceria, G. Cornuéjols, and N. Natraj.  
Gomory cuts revisited.  
*Operations Research Letters*, 19:1–9, 1996.
-  R. E. Gomory.  
An algorithm for integer solutions to linear programs.  
In R. L. Graves and P. Wolfe, editors, *Recent Advances in Mathematical Programming*, pages 269–302. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
-  A. Zanette, M. Fischetti, and E. Balas.  
Lexicography and degeneracy: can a pure cutting plane algorithm work?  
*Mathematical Programming*, 130(1):153–176, 2011.