

1. Note first:

$$\exists x > 0 \text{ with } Ax = 0 \iff \exists x \geq e \text{ with } Ax = 0, \\ \text{where } e = \text{vector of all ones.}$$

Since: \Leftarrow : trivial

\Rightarrow : rescale x so that it is $\geq e$.

Consider the LP pair:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{min} & 0 \\ \text{st.} & Ax = 0 \\ & x \geq e \end{array} \quad (P)$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{max} & e^T s \\ \text{st.} & A^T y + s = 0 \\ & s \geq 0 \end{array} \quad (D)$$

Assume $\exists x > 0$ with $Ax = 0$:

Then (P) is feasible, with optimal value 0

So (D) has optimal value 0

$$\text{So } A^T y \leq 0 \Rightarrow A^T y = 0.$$

So $\nexists y$ with $A^T y \leq 0, A^T y \neq 0$.

Assume $\nexists x > 0$ with $Ax = 0$:

Then (P) is infeasible

(D) is always feasible take $y = 0, s = 0$.

So (D) is unbounded.

So $\exists y$ with $A^T y \leq 0, A^T y + s = 0, s \neq 0$

So $\exists y$ with $A^T y \leq 0, A^T y \neq 0$.

$$2.0(a) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c^T x^1 = 2, \quad c^T x^2 = 4, \quad Ax^1 = 1, \quad Ax^2 = 3$$

$$\text{Incl (RMP):} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \min & 2\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = 2 \quad (\text{RMP}) \\ & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1 \\ & \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Only feasible solution: $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, value 3.

Dual solution: $\pi = 1, \sigma = 1$, so $B^T \begin{pmatrix} \pi \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = c$.

$$\text{Subproblem: } c^\# - A^T \pi = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 \quad (\text{SP}) \\ \text{s.t.} & x \in X. \end{array}$$

Unbounded: Solve gives $d = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$c^T d = -3, \quad Ad = -1$$

$$\text{(RMP) becomes:} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \min & 2\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2 - 3\mu_1 \\ \text{s.t.} & \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 - \mu_1 = 2 \quad (\text{RMP}) \\ & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 1 \\ & \lambda_i \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Optimal soln: $\lambda_2 = 1, \mu_1 = 1, B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{value} = 1.$

Dual solution: $\pi = 3, \sigma = -5$

Subproblem: $c - A^T \pi = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} - 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$

min $-x_1 - 5x_2$
 st. $x \in X$

Soln: $x^3 = (1, 0, 1)^T, \text{value} = -6 < \sigma.$

$c^T x^3 = 6, Ax^3 = 4$

(RMP) becomes:

min $2\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2 + 6\lambda_3 - 3\mu_1$

st. $\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3 - \mu_1 = 2$ (RMP)
 $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$
 $\lambda_i \geq 0$

Optimal soln: $\lambda_3 = 1, \mu_1 = 2, \text{value} = 0, B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Dual solution: $\pi = 3, \sigma = -6$

Since π is unchanged, the optimal soln to the subproblem is x^3 , with value $= c = 6$

So OPTIMAL: $x = x^3 + 2d' = (1, 2, 1), \text{value} = 6\lambda_3 - 3\mu_1 = 0$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min} \quad & 2x_1 - 3x_2 + 4x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3 = 2 \\ & 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Initialize with x_3 basic, x_1 nonbasic at lower bound, x_2 nonbasic.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Primal: min} \quad & \frac{2}{3}x_1 - \frac{5}{3}x_2 + \frac{8}{3} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \frac{1}{3}x_1 - \frac{1}{3}x_2 + x_3 = \frac{2}{3} \\ & 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BFS: Value: } & \frac{8}{3} \\ x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = & \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

x_2 enters.

This does not give a ray, because x_3 reaches its upper bound.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min} \quad & -x_1 - 5x_3 + 6 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & -x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 = -2 \\ & 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BFS: Value: } & -5 + 6 = 1 \\ x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 1 \\ \text{LB} \quad \quad \quad & \quad \quad \quad \text{UB} \end{aligned}$$

x_1 enters.

This does not give a ray, because x_1 then leaves at its upper bound
Get same tableau ~~again~~:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{min} \quad & -x_1 - 5x_3 + 6 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & -x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3 = -2 \\ & 0 \leq x_1 \leq 1, 0 \leq x_2, 0 \leq x_3 \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BFS: Value: } & -1 - 5 + 6 = 0 \\ x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 1 \\ \text{UB} \quad \quad \quad & \quad \quad \quad \text{UB} \end{aligned}$$

OPTIMAL:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Value} &= 0 \\ x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

3. (a) Dual problem to (MP) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & e^T \bar{y} + K \bar{\sigma} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & A^T \bar{y} + e \bar{\sigma} \leq w \end{aligned} \quad (MD)$$

Solving the (RMP) gives a dual solution, $(\bar{y}, \bar{\sigma})$, using complementary slackness. If $(\bar{y}, \bar{\sigma})$ is dual feasible in (MD), then we have the optimal solution.

Thus, we need to check:

$$\text{Is } (a_{\cdot}^T \bar{y} + \bar{\sigma} \leq w_k \text{ for every subset } S^k? \\ \text{(Here, } a_{\cdot}^k \text{ is the incidence vector of } S^k)$$

To determine this, we need to solve the subproblem:

$$\min \quad w_{\cdot} - a_{\cdot}^T \bar{y} \quad (SP)$$

$$\text{s.t. } a_{\cdot} \text{ is binary, } w_{\cdot} \text{ is weight of } a_{\cdot}.$$

If optimal value is ≥ 0 then we have solved (MP); otherwise, we find a subset (or column) with negative reduced cost.

$$\text{Note that } w_{\cdot} = \sum_{i,j \in S^k, i < j} d_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} d_{ij} a_i a_j,$$

where a_i and a_j are the i th & j th component of a .

Solution is: $\bar{c} = -8$, $\bar{y}^T = (8, 8, 8, 2, 8)$

Reduced cost for x_4 is: $c_4 - c_B^T B^{-1} a_4$

$$\text{ie, } 0 - \bar{y}^T a_4 - \bar{c} = -2 + 8 = 6 \geq 0.$$

So all the reduced costs are nonnegative.

(iii) From part (a), the subproblem is:

$$\min \sum_{i < j} d_{ij} a_i a_j - \bar{y}^T a \quad (\text{QP}).$$

st. a is binary

The vector $a = (0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$ has value $9 - 18 = -9 < -8 = \bar{c}$.

So we are not optimal for (MP).

The column to add to (RMP) is: $\begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that $w_{3+5} = 9$.

We need to find the corresponding column in the tableau,

$$\text{given by } B d = \begin{pmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solve:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Solution is: $d^T = (-1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 1)$

To determine the leaving variable, we need to conduct a min ratio test:

Compare d with $B^{-1}b$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

\uparrow
 \uparrow
 \uparrow

So x_{345} comes in with value $\theta=1$, and any one of x_5, x_{12}, x_{34} can leave the basis. Let x_{12} leave the basis

Now here

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_5 \\ x_{34} \\ x_{345} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \theta d = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $x_{345} = 1$.

4. (a) $x = e, t = 1, w = 1, y = 0.$

(b) Dual to (MCP) is:

$$\begin{array}{rcll}
 \max & & -(n+1)\zeta & \\
 \text{s.t.} & -A^T \nu + b, & -\hat{b}\zeta & = 0 \\
 & A^T \pi & -c, & +\hat{d}\zeta & \leq 0 & \text{(MCD)} \\
 & -b^T \pi + c^T \nu & & -\hat{d}\zeta & \leq 0 \\
 & \hat{b}^T \pi - \hat{c}^T \nu + \hat{d} & & & = 0 \\
 & \nu, \pi & \geq 0. & &
 \end{array}$$

Change sign of objective, change sign of constraints:

Get exactly (MCD) with $\pi \leftrightarrow x, \nu \leftrightarrow y, \rho \leftrightarrow t, \zeta \leftrightarrow w.$

(c) Optimal value of (MCP) = optimal value of (MCD)

If (x^*, y^*, t^*, w^*) solves (MCP), then it also solves (MCD).

$$\text{So } (n+1)w^* = -(n+1)w^*$$

$$\text{So } w^* = 0, \text{ and optimal value} = 0.$$

(d) Let $x^* = \tilde{x}/\epsilon$, $y^* = \tilde{y}/\epsilon$.

Since $\tilde{w} = 0$, we get $Ax^* = b$, $A^T y^* \leq c$,
 $b^T y^* = c^T x^*$, $x^* \geq 0$, $y^* \geq 0$.

(e) If $\epsilon = 0$ and $w = 0$:

Then $Ax = 0$ (1)
 $A^T y \leq 0$ (2)
 $b^T y \geq c^T x$ (3)

Further, ϵ is complementary to the slack variable w (3).

So, in a strictly complementary soln, if $\epsilon = 0$ then

$$b^T y > c^T x \quad (4).$$

If $c^T x \geq 0$: Then $A^T y \leq 0$ and $b^T y > 0$.
 So by Farkas, $\nexists x$ with $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$.

If $c^T x < 0$: ~~The~~ Assume \tilde{y} satisfies $A^T \tilde{y} \leq c$.
 Then $x^T A^T \tilde{y} \leq c^T x$ since $x \geq 0$.
 But this gives $0^T \tilde{y} \leq c^T x < 0$ ~~✗~~.

So: either $Ax = 0$ and $c^T x < 0$, which implies (P) is infeasible
 or $A^T y \leq 0$ and $b^T y > 0$, which implies (P) is infeasible.

Note: may have $Ax = 0$, $c^T x < 0$ AND $A^T y \leq 0$, $b^T y > 0$.