

1. (I) has a solution

$$\Leftrightarrow Ax \leq -e, x \geq 0 \text{ has a solution } (e = \text{vector of ones})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Ax + s = -e, (x, s) \geq 0 \text{ has a solution}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A^T y \geq 0, y \geq 0, -e^T y < 0 \text{ has no solution, Farkas Lemma}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A^T y \geq 0, y \geq 0, y \neq 0 \text{ has no solution}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{(I) does not have a solution}$$

2. Let $A, B \in S_+^n$. Let $\bar{X} = \lambda A + (1-\lambda)B$,
with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Then } & \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B) - f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \\
 &= \lambda \text{tr}(CA^2) + (1-\lambda)\text{tr}(CB^2) \\
 &\quad - \text{tr}(C(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B)(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B)) \\
 &= \text{tr}\left(C \begin{bmatrix} \lambda A^2 + (1-\lambda)B^2 - \lambda^2 A^2 - (1-\lambda)^2 B^2 \\ -\lambda(1-\lambda)AB - \lambda(1-\lambda)BA \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
 &= \text{tr}\left(C \begin{bmatrix} \lambda(1-\lambda)A^2 + \lambda(1-\lambda)B^2 \\ -\lambda(1-\lambda)AB - \lambda(1-\lambda)BA \end{bmatrix}\right) \\
 &= \lambda(1-\lambda) \text{tr}(C(A-B)^2) \\
 &\geq 0 \quad \text{as required,}
 \end{aligned}$$

since $(A-B)(A-B)$ is in S_+^n ,

and the trace of the product of two matrices

in S_+^n (namely, C and $(A-B)^2$)

is nonnegative.

$$3. (a) \nabla f(x) = e^{x_2 - x_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 2x_1 - 1 \\ 2x_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} = e^{x_2 - x_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2x_1 \\ 2x_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

At KKT points, need:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{x_2 - x_1} (1 - 2x_1) - u_1 &= 0 & (1) \\ e^{x_2 - x_1} (2x_1 + 1) - u_2 &= 0 & (2) \end{aligned}$$

$$u_1 x_1 = 0 \quad (3) \quad u_1 \geq 0 \quad (4) \quad x_1 \geq 0 \quad (5)$$

$$u_2 x_2 = 0 \quad (6) \quad u_2 \geq 0 \quad (7) \quad x_2 \geq 0 \quad (8)$$

(b)

Assume $u_2 = 0$: $\stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$. Violates (5).

So must have $\boxed{u_2 > 0} \stackrel{(6)}{\Rightarrow} \boxed{x_2 = 0}$

Assume $x_1 > 0$: $\stackrel{(3)}{\Rightarrow} u_1 = 0 \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} x_1 = \frac{1}{2} \stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} u_2 = 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}} > 0$.

So get KKT point: $\boxed{x = (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \text{ with } u = (0, 2e^{-\frac{1}{2}})}$.

Assume $x_1 = 0$: $\stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} u_1 = 1$, $\stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} u_2 = 1$

So get KKT point $\boxed{x = (0, 0) \text{ with } u = (1, 1)}$

$$(c) \nabla^2 f = e^{x_2 - x_1} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 1 & 2x_1 + 1 \\ -2x_1 + 1 & 2x_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = e^{x_2 - x_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 3 & 1 - 2x_1 \\ 1 - 2x_1 & 2x_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $\nabla^2 g_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ for both constraints, have $\nabla^2 L = \nabla^2 f$.

(i) $x = (0, 0)$: Have $u_1 > 0, u_2 > 0$. So look at d with $d^T \nabla g_1 = d^T \nabla g_2 = 0 \Rightarrow d = (0, 0)$.

So $d^T \nabla^2 L d > 0$ for all appropriate $d \neq (0, 0)$, vacuously, so $\boxed{x = (0, 0) \text{ is a local min.}}$

$x = (\frac{1}{2}, 0)$: Have $g_2(x) = 0$, so look at d with $d^T \nabla g_2 = 0$, so $d = (\epsilon, 0)$ for $\epsilon \neq 0$.

Then $d^T \nabla^2 L d = -2e^{-0.5} \epsilon^2 < 0$. So fail necessary cond. $\boxed{\text{So } x = (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \text{ not local min}}$

3(d) Consider the set of points $x = (t, 0)$.

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, get $f(x) \rightarrow 0$.

Now, $f(0, 0) = 1$, and $f(\frac{1}{2}, 0) = 2e^{-1/2}$

So neither point is a local max.

$$(e) \quad D^2 f = e^{x_2 - x_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 3 & 1 - 2x_1 \\ 1 - 2x_1 & 2x_1 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Determinant is

$$\Delta = e^{2(x_2 - x_1)} \left[(2x_1 - 3)(2x_1 + 1) - (1 - 2x_1)^2 \right]$$

$$= e^{2(x_2 - x_1)} \left[4x_1^2 - 4x_1 - 3 - 1 + 4x_1 - 4x_1^2 \right]$$

$$= -4e^{2(x_2 - x_1)}$$

$$< 0 \text{ for every } x$$

So f is not convex in any region.

$$4. \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T x - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{KKT: } Df = Qx, \quad Dg = I.$$

Feasible region is convex, constraints are convex, and $x=0$ is strictly feasible. So Slater CQ holds, so suffices to look for KKT point (feasible region is compact).

$$\text{So need } Qx + uI x = 0 \text{ (1)}, \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} x^T x - \frac{1}{2}\right) u = 0, \quad u \geq 0, \quad g(x) \leq 0$$

If $u=0$: Then $Qx=0$, so either $x=0$, or x is nullspace of Q .

$$\text{Then } f(x) = 0.$$

If $u>0$: Then $Qx = -u x$, so u must be the negative of a negative eigenvalue of Q , and x is the corresponding eigenvector of norm one.

$$\text{Then } f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x = -\frac{1}{2} u x^T x = -\frac{1}{2} u$$

So optimal choice is $u = -\lambda_{\min}(Q)$, the negative of the most negative eigenvalue of Q , and x is corresponding eigenvector.

Optimal value: $\boxed{\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\min}(Q)}$ for primal.

(By assumption, $\lambda_{\min}(Q) < 0$.)

4. Dual problem:

$$\theta(u) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^T Q x + \frac{1}{2} u x^T x - \frac{1}{2} u$$

$$= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} x^T (Q + uI) x - \frac{1}{2} u$$

$$= \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} u & \text{if } Q + uI \text{ positive semidefinite} \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So $\theta(u)$ is maximized by taking $u = -\lambda_{\min}(Q)$. (> 0)

Optimal dual value is $\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\min}(Q) =$ optimal primal value.