

1. Let e_i be the unit vector.

Consider the primal dual pair:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min -e_i^T x & \max 0 \\ \text{s.t. } Ax = 0 & \text{s.t. } A^T y \leq -e_i \\ x \geq 0 & (D_i) \end{array}$$

If x_i is bounded in (P) then (P) has finite optimal value, so (D) is feasible, so there is a dual ray d^i with $A^T d^i \leq -e_i$.

Let (\bar{y}, \bar{s}) be feasible in (D). Let $s^i = -A^T d^i \geq e_i$.

Then $y = \bar{y} + \alpha d^i$, $s = \bar{s} + \alpha s^i$ is feasible in (D) for any $\alpha \geq 0$.

As $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we have $s_i \rightarrow \infty$.

2. Diagonalize $Q = U \Lambda U^T$, where U is orthonormal and Λ is diagonal.

Let u^1, \dots, u^n be columns of U , let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be eigenvalues of Q .

Then $Q = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i u^i u^{iT}$. Note that $Q = \nabla^2 L(x, u)$

Let d satisfy the $n-k+p < n$ linear constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} u^{iT} d &= 0 & \forall i \text{ with } \lambda_i \geq 0 \\ a^{iT} d &= 0 & \forall \text{ active constraint } j, \\ & & \text{where } a^{iT} \text{ is } j\text{th row of } A. \end{aligned}$$

There is a nonzero d satisfying this system, since the system is underdetermined.

For such a d , we have $d^T Q d = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (u^{iT} d)^2$,

$$\text{so } d^T Q d = \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} \lambda_i (u^{iT} d)^2$$

Since the vectors u^i form a basis for \mathbb{R}^n , we have $u^{iT} d \neq 0$ for some i , so $d^T Q d < 0$.

This d satisfies $d^T a^j = 0 \quad \forall \text{ active constraints } j$
 $d^T Q d < 0$.

So the second order necessary conditions do not hold.

3. (a) KKT points satisfy:

$$4x_2 - 1 - u_0 - s_1 + t_1 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$4x_1 - 2 + u_0 - s_2 + t_2 = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$u_0(-x_1 + x_2) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$s_1 x_1 = 0, \quad s_2 x_2 = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$t_1(1-x_1) = 0, \quad t_2(1-x_2) = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$u_0, s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq 0 \quad (7)$$

$$0 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

Note that (4), (5) $\Rightarrow s_1 t_1 = 0, s_2 t_2 = 0$ (9)

Break into cases:

Assume $x_2 = 0$: $(1) \Rightarrow t_1 > 0 \xrightarrow{(5)} x_1 = 1 \xrightarrow{(3)(4)} u_0 = 0, s_1 = 0 \xrightarrow{(1)} t_1 = 1$

Also $(2)(7)(5) \Rightarrow s_2 = 2, t_2 = 0$

So get KKT point: $x = (1, 0), u_0 = 0, s = (0, 2), t = (1, 0)$.

Assume $x_2 > 0$: $(4) \Rightarrow s_2 = 0$

Assume $u_0 > 0$: $(3) \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2 \xrightarrow{(4)} s_1 = 0$

Assume $x_2 < 1$. Then $(5) \Rightarrow t_1 = t_2 = 0 \xrightarrow{(1)(2)} x_1 = x_2 = \frac{3}{8}$

KKT point: $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}, s = (0, 0), t = (0, 0)$

Assume $x_2 = 1$: $(2) \Rightarrow u_0 + t_2 = -2 \nexists$.

So can take $u_0 = 0$, along with $x_2 > 0$ and $s_2 = 0$.

$$\textcircled{2} \Rightarrow 4x_1 + t_2 = 2 \Rightarrow x_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad \textcircled{5} \Rightarrow t_1 = 0$$

$$\textcircled{1} \Rightarrow 4x_2 = 1 + s_1 \Rightarrow x_2 \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

Assume $x_1 < \frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow{\textcircled{2}} t_2 > 0 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{5}} x_2 = 1 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1}} s_1 > 0 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{4}} x_1 = 0$

~~Get KKT point $x = (0, 1)$, $u_0 = 0$, $s = (3, 0)$, $t = (0, 2)$
Violates $\textcircled{1}$.~~

Can take $x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$.

~~Assume $x_2 < \frac{1}{4}$~~
 $\textcircled{4} \Rightarrow s_1 = 0 \xrightarrow{\textcircled{1}} x_2 = \frac{1}{4}$

Get KKT point $x = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4})$, $u_0 = 0$, $s = (0, 0)$, $t = (0, 0)$.

Second order sufficient conditions:

$$\nabla^2 L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

At all our 4 points, KKT multipliers of active constraints are positive.

$x = (1, 0)$: ~~Let d~~ The only d with $a_j^T d = 0$ \forall active constraints is $d = 0$.

So vacuously this point satisfies 2nd order sufficient conditions.

Q3 p3

$x = \left(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right)$: Only possible d is $\pm(1, 1)$

Then $d^T D^2 L d = 8$, so this point satisfies the second order sufficient conditions.

~~$x = (0, 1)$: Only valid d is $d = (0, 0)$, so again~~

~~2nd order conditions are satisfied vacuously.~~

$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$: Any d is possible. Take $d = (1, -1)$.
Then $d^T Q d = -8$, so second order sufficient conditions violated (as are the 2nd order necessary conditions).

(b) CQ holds everywhere, since constraints linear.
Feasible region is compact.
So best KKT point is optimal soln.

$x = (1, 0)$: Value is -1

$x = \left(\frac{3}{8}, \frac{3}{8}\right)$: Value is $\frac{9}{16} - \frac{9}{8} = -\frac{9}{16}$

$x = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right)$: Value is $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$

So optimal soln is $x = (1, 0)$, value -1 .

(c) (i) $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ has eigenvalues ± 4 .
So # negative eigenvalues = 1.

If x is not on the boundary then # active constraints = 0.

So x not on boundary $\overset{\text{opt}}{\Rightarrow} x$ is not optimal.

(ii) $\min 4x_1 + x_2 - x_1 - 2x_2 + u(-x_1 + x_2)$ (LR(u))
s.t. $0 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq 1$

Suffices to find best point on boundary.

So find best points on each of four boundaries, and compare.

$x_1 = 0$: $\min (u-2)x_2$: Optimal value = $\begin{cases} u-2 & \text{if } u \leq 2, \text{ achieved by } x_2 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 2, \text{ achieved by } x_2 \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } u > 2, \text{ achieved by } x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$
s.t. $0 \leq x_2 \leq 1$

$x_2 = 0$: $\min (u-1)x_1$: Optimal value = $\begin{cases} u-1 & \text{if } u \leq 1, \text{ achieved by } x_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } u = 1, \text{ achieved by } x_1 \in [0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{if } u > 1, \text{ achieved by } x_1 = 0 \end{cases}$
s.t. $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$

$x_1 = 1$: $\min (2+u)x_2 - 1 - u$: Optimal value = $\begin{cases} -1-u & \text{for all } u, \text{ achieved by } x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$
s.t. $0 \leq x_2 \leq 1$

$x_2 = 1$: $\min (3-u)x_1 + u - 2$: Optimal value = $\begin{cases} u-2 & \text{if } u \leq 3, \text{ achieved by } x_1 = 0 \\ u-2 & \text{if } u = 3, \text{ achieved by } x_1 \in [0, 1] \\ 1 & \text{if } u > 3, \text{ achieved by } x_1 = 1. \end{cases}$
s.t. $0 \leq x_1 \leq 1$

Combining these calculations, we get

$$\theta(u) = \begin{cases} u-2 & \text{if } u \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ achieved by } x=(0,1) \\ -1-u & \text{if } u \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ achieved by } x=(1,0) \end{cases}$$

(iii) Optimal dual value: $\theta(u^*) = -\frac{3}{2}$, achieved at $u = \frac{1}{2}$.

(iv) At $u = \frac{1}{2}$, have $x = (0,1)$ or $x = (1,0)$.
These give $g(x) = 1$ and $g(x) = -1$.

So subdifferential is $[-1, 1] \ni 0$.

4.

If f is differentiable, we have

$$\nabla_x g(x, s) = \nabla f\left(\frac{1}{s}x\right)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{\partial g}{\partial s} = f\left(\frac{1}{s}x\right) - \frac{1}{s}x^T \nabla f\left(\frac{1}{s}x\right)$$

In the general case, we want to find a subgradient of $g(x, s)$.

Thus, $g(x, s)$ is convex $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ subgradient at each point (\bar{x}, \bar{s}) so that

$$g(x, s) \leq g(\bar{x}, \bar{s}) + \bar{\xi}^T (x, s).$$

Let $\bar{\xi}$ be a subgradient of f at the point $\frac{1}{\bar{s}}\bar{x}$,

$$\text{so } f(x) \leq f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{s}}\bar{x}\right) + \bar{\xi}^T \left(x - \frac{1}{\bar{s}}\bar{x}\right) \quad \forall x.$$

Based on the differentiable case, we conjecture:

$$g(x, s) \leq g(\bar{x}, \bar{s}) + \bar{\xi}^T (x - \bar{x}) \\ + \left[f\left(\frac{1}{\bar{s}}\bar{x}\right) - \frac{1}{\bar{s}}\bar{x}^T \bar{\xi} \right] (s - \bar{s})$$

If this holds, then $g(x, s)$ is convex.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(x, s) &+ \bar{\xi}^T (x - \bar{x}) + \left[f\left(\frac{1}{s}\bar{x}\right) - \frac{1}{s}\bar{x}^T \bar{\xi} \right] (s - \bar{s}) \\
 &= s f\left(\frac{1}{s}\bar{x}\right) + \bar{\xi}^T \left[x - \bar{x} - \frac{s}{s}\bar{x} + \bar{x} \right] \\
 &= s f\left(\frac{1}{s}\bar{x}\right) + s \bar{\xi}^T \left(\frac{x}{s} - \frac{\bar{x}}{s} \right) \\
 &= s \left[f\left(\frac{1}{s}\bar{x}\right) + \bar{\xi}^T \left(\frac{x}{s} - \frac{\bar{x}}{s} \right) \right] \\
 &\geq s f\left(\frac{1}{s}x\right) \text{ by definition of } \bar{\xi} \\
 &= g(x, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, g is convex.

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 / x_2 = x_2 \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2} \right)^2$$

Now, $f(x) = x^2$ is convex, so by first part $h(x_1, x_2)$ is convex, provided $x_2 > 0$.

$h(x_1, x_2)$ is not strictly convex:

$$\nabla^2 h = \begin{bmatrix} 2/x_2 & -2x_1/x_2^2 \\ -2x_1/x_2^2 & 2x_1^2/x_2^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 2/x_2^3 \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 & -x_1 x_2 \\ -x_1 x_2 & x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is not positive definite. ~~is~~ ~~not~~

Vector in null space is x , so this is candidate for checking if h is not strictly convex.

We have $h(tx_1, tx_2) = t h(x_1, x_2)$ for any $t > 0$,
so $h(\cdot)$ is linear along radial lines from the origin.