

Duality in NLP.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Define } \max_x \quad & f(x) \\ & g_i(x) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m \end{aligned} \quad (\text{NLP})$$

Define Lagrangian

$$L(x, u) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i g_i(x)$$

Classical Wolfe dual:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x, u} \quad & L(x, u) \\ & D_x L(x, u) = 0 \\ & u \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \equiv \quad \begin{aligned} \max_x \quad & f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i g_i(x) \\ & Df(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i Dg_i(x) = 0 \\ & u \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Theorem} \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} \bar{x} \text{ feasible for primal} \\ (\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \text{ feasible for dual} \\ f, g_i \text{ convex} \end{array} \right\} f(\bar{x}) \geq L(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof} \quad f(\bar{x}) &\geq f(\hat{x}) + Df(\hat{x})^T(\bar{x} - \hat{x}) \\ &= f(\hat{x}) - \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \hat{u}_i Dg_i(\hat{x})^T(\bar{x} - \hat{x}) \right] \\ &\geq f(\hat{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{\hat{u}_i}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{(g_i(\bar{x}) - g_i(\hat{x}))}_{\leq 0} \\ &\geq f(\hat{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{u}_i g_i(\hat{x}) \\ &= L(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem Let \bar{x} be optimal for (NLP). Assume f, g_i convex.

If CQ holds then $\exists \bar{u}$ such that (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) optimal for dual
and $f(\bar{x}) = L(\bar{x}, \bar{u})$

Proof CQ holds

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \exists \bar{u} \text{ s.t. } \quad & \nabla f(\bar{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i \nabla g_i(\bar{x}) = 0 \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i g_i(\bar{x}) = 0 \\ & \bar{u}_i \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \bar{u}_i$ is feasible for dual, and

$$L(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) = f(\bar{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{u}_i g_i(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x})$$

~~From previous theorem,~~

if (\hat{x}, \hat{u}) feasible for dual, then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\hat{x}, \hat{u}) &\leq f(\bar{x}) \quad \text{from previous theorem} \\ &= L(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \end{aligned}$$

So ~~(\hat{x}, \hat{u})~~ (\bar{x}, \bar{u}) optimal for dual.

What is Wolfe dual of

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \frac{1}{2} x^T C x - d^T x \\ & A x \leq b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (P) \quad ?$$

Dual is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \frac{1}{2} x^T C x - d^T x + u^T (A x - b) - w^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & C x - d + A^T u - w = 0 \\ & u \geq 0, w \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \max \quad -\frac{1}{2} x^T C x - b^T u + (x^T C x - d^T x + x^T A^T u - w^T x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s.t.} \quad & C x - d + A^T u - w = 0 \\ & u \geq 0, w \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \max \quad -\frac{1}{2} x^T C x - b^T u$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s.t.} \quad & C x + A^T u \geq d \\ & u \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For LP, the classical dual is the LP dual.

An example where dual optimal value does not give primal optimal:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & x_1^2 - x_1 \quad (= (x_1 - \frac{1}{2})^2 - \frac{1}{4}) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 - x_2 \leq 0 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (P)$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, d = \begin{pmatrix} +1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (CD): \quad & \max \quad -x_1^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \begin{pmatrix} 2x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + u \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \geq \begin{pmatrix} +1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & u \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Consider } \hat{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, u = 0:$$

Feas for (CD), with value $-\frac{1}{4}$

~~Infeas~~ for \hat{x} infeas for (P)

$$\text{Consider } \bar{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}: \text{ Feas for (P), with value } -\frac{1}{4}.$$

$\therefore (\hat{x}, \hat{u})$ optimal for (CD), but leads to an infeas. point for ~~(P)~~ (P).

Mangasarian - Ponstein Convex Theorem (1965)

Let f and each g_i be differentiable and convex.

If (\hat{x}, \hat{u}) is optimal for the classical (Wolfe) dual and if $L(x, \hat{u})$ is strictly convex at \hat{x} , then

\hat{x} is optimal for the primal and $f(\hat{x}) = L(\hat{x}, \hat{u})$.

Proof lengthy (see Jour. Math. Analysis & Appl. Vol 11, 1965). //

In example, L is not strictly convex, because ~~it can change~~
 ~~x_2 arbitrarily and not change g_1 or value.~~

$$L(x, u, w) = x_2^2 - x_1 + u_1(x_1 - x_2) - w_1 x_1 - w_2 x_2,$$

so only linear in x_2 for a fixed u, w .