

MATHEMATICAL INDUCTION (SL.1)

To prove $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers n :

① BASIS STEP: Verify that $P(1)$ is true

② INDUCTIVE STEP: Show that the conditional statement $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$ is true for all positive integers k .

Eg Show $1+2+\dots+n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ \forall positive integers n .

Basis step: $n=1$: $1+2+\dots+n = 1$.

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{1(2)}{2} = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Inductive step: Assume true for $n=1, \dots, k$.
Show true for $n=k+1$:

$$1+2+\dots+k+(k+1) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + k+1 \quad \text{by inductive hypothesis}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (k^2 + k + 2k + 2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (k^2 + 3k + 2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (k+1)(k+2)$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)}{2}$$

To prove $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers $n \geq b$:

Need to change Basis Step: Verify that $P(b)$ is true.

Inductive Step as before.

Eg: From first class, we showed $2^n < n!$ for $n \geq 4$.

Basis Step: If $n=4$ then $2^4 = 16$, $n! = 24$

Inductive Step: Assume true for $n=k$, show true for $n=k+1$:

$$\frac{2^{k+1}}{(k+1)!} = \frac{2^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{2}{(k+1)}$$

$$< \frac{2^k}{k!} \quad \text{since } k \geq 4$$

$$< 1 \quad \text{for inductive hypothesis.}$$

Eg: Show: $\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 4} + \dots + \frac{1}{(n-1)n} = \frac{n-1}{n}$ for $n \geq 2$.

Base case: $n=2$: $\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} = \frac{1}{2} \checkmark$

Inductive step: Assume true for $n \leq k$.

$n = k+1$:

$$\frac{1}{1 \cdot 2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)k} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{k-1}{k} + \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \stackrel{+}{=} \frac{k-1}{k(k+1)}$$

$$= \frac{k^2 - 1 + 1}{k(k+1)} = \frac{k}{k+1} \checkmark$$

Eg: Show $\sum_{i=0}^n r^i = \frac{r^{n+1} - 1}{r - 1}$ provided $r \neq 1$.

Base case: $n=0$: $\sum_{i=0}^0 r^i = r^0 = 1 = \frac{r^{0+1} - 1}{r - 1} \checkmark$

Inductive step: Assume true for $n \leq k$.

$n = k+1$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} r^i = \sum_{i=0}^k r^i + r^{k+1}$$

$$= \frac{r^{k+1} - 1}{r - 1} + r^{k+1}$$

$$= \frac{r^{k+1} - 1 + r^{k+2} - r^{k+1}}{r - 1}$$

$$= \frac{r^{k+2} - 1}{r - 1} \checkmark$$

Result about sets

Eg: A set with n elements has 2^n subsets.

Proof Base case: $n=0$. Only subset is \emptyset , and $2^0 = 1$ ✓
 Also: $n=1$: Subsets are \emptyset and the element itself,
 and $2^1 = 2$ ✓

Inductive step:

Add one element to a set with k elements.

Label the elements $\{1, 2, \dots, k, k+1\}$.

All 2^k subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ are subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$

~~$\{k+1\}$ is a subset.~~

Any subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ + element $k+1$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, k+1\}$
 (including \emptyset)

So # subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, k+1\}$ is $2(2^k) = 2^{k+1}$ ✓

Eg: Extension of
One of De Morgan's Laws:

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \bar{A}_j = \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j} \quad \text{when } A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \subseteq U, \text{ a universal set, and } n \geq 2$$

Proof:

Base case: $n=2$:

This is $\bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2 = \overline{A_1 \cup A_2}$, one of De Morgan's Laws.

Inductive step:

Assume true for $n \leq k$.

For $n = k+1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{j=1}^{k+1} \bar{A}_j &= \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k \bar{A}_j \right) \cap \bar{A}_{k+1} \\ &= \overline{\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k A_j \right)} \cap \bar{A}_{k+1} \quad \text{by inductive hypothesis} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^k A_j \right) \cup A_{k+1}} \quad \text{by De Morgan's Law}$$

$$= \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{k+1} A_j}$$



STRONG INDUCTION ~~& Well Ordering~~ (§ 4.2)

E.g.: Show that if n is an integer greater than 1 then n can be written as the product of primes.

Proof: Base Step: $n=2$: ✓

Inductive Step:

STRONG INDUCTION: Assume true for $n=2, 3, \dots, k-1, k$.

Show true for $n=k+1$.

If $k+1$ is a prime then we are done.

Else, k is a product of two smaller numbers, $k=pq$.

By the strong inductive hypothesis, p and q are each expressible as the product of primes.

Thus, $k+1$ can be written as a product of primes. \square

Eg: Have n stones.
 Two players take it in turns to remove 1 or 2 stones.
 The player removing the last stone wins.

Then: if there are $3j$ stones then player 2 wins,
 else player 1 wins, where j is a ~~any~~ positive integer.

Proof: Basic steps:

If $n=1$: Player 1 removes the stone and wins.

If $n=2$: Player 1 removes both stones and wins.

If $n=3$: Player 1 removes one or two stones. Player 2 removes the remaining stones and wins.

Strong Inductive Step:

Assume we have $k+1$ stones, and know outcome if have $1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1, k$ stones.

If $k+1 \neq 3j$:

Player one removes enough stones to leave exactly $3j$ for some integer j .

By the inductive hypothesis, the next player to remove a stone loses.

I.e., player 2 loses, and player 1 wins.

If $k+1 = 3j$:

If player 1 removes p stones then player 2 removes $3-p$ stones,
 and we have $3(j-1)$ stones left, with player 1 to play.

By inductive hypothesis, player 1 loses.